

# Fax Cover Sheet

## U.S. Patent & Trademark Office



*extra copy*

### Art Unit 2172

**Date:** April 26, 2001

**To:**

**Name:** Rosalind

**Company:** Heslin & Rothenberg

**Phone:** 518-452-5600 Ext. 245

**Fax No:** 518-452-5579

**From:**

**Name:** Ella Colbert

**Phone No:** 703-308-7064

**Fax No:** 703-308-9051

**Number of pages (including this cover sheet):** 3

**If you have not received all of the pages of this transmission, please contact me.**

**PLEASE CALL ME AT THE TELEPHONE NUMBER ABOVE TO CONFIRM RECEIPT OR IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES. THANKS.**

**Advisory Action**

Application No.

09/286,043

Examiner

Ella Colbert

Applicant(s)

SCHNEITER ET AL.

Art Unit

2172

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check only a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief with requisite fees.
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);
  - (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note below);
  - (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_

4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.
5. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
6. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
7. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
8. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
- Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_
  - Claim(s) rejected: 1-27.
  - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_
9. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ a) ☐ has b) ☐ has not been approved by the Examiner.
10. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
11. ☐ Other:

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application NO.

Continuation of 6. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument for claim 4 recites "while the search agent provides the results of the search to the communication" which is not found by the Examiner as a claim limitation of claim 4. Claim 4 recites "and wherein the search agent provides the results of the search to the communication agent." Applicants' argument for claim 12 recites "visiting a particular company's Web site by a user of the first computer and searching items offered on that site, however, the search is actually being performed at a third party site while seamless to the user" are not claim limitations found by the Examiner in claim 12 nor are the claim limitations interpreted to mean "visiting a particular company's Web site by a user of the first computer and searching items offered on that site, however, the search is actually being performed at a third party site while seamless to the user." Applicants' appear to be reading limitations from the Specification into the claim.